

WELWYN HATFIELD BOROUGH COUNCIL
DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE 19 JULY 2018
REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR (PUBLIC PROTECTION, PLANNING AND GOVERNANCE)

PLANNING UPDATE – FUTURE PLANNING APPLICATIONS

1 Introduction

- 1.1 This report is for the Development Management Committee to provide a summary of applications that might be presented to Committee over the coming months. If the call-in or application is withdrawn, the item will not be presented.
- 1.2 The applications should not be debated as part of this agenda, however any Councillor wishing to raise specific points about the proposal – such as a need for planning obligations or issue(s) that might not readily be apparent from the proposal or any other matter, may do so and the case officer will consider, where they are planning considerations, these matters raised as part of the future Committee report.
- 1.3 Appendix 1 comprises all applications that have been called-in or objected to by Town or Parish Councils. Appendix 2 comprises those that are a departure from the Local Plan, Officers consider should be determined by Development Management Committee, the applicant is the Borough Council or it has an interest in the land and an objection has been received.

2 Recommendation

- 2.1 That members note this report.

Name of author Chris Carter
Title Development Management Service Manager

Appendix 1 - Applications called-in or objected to

6/2016/0270/VAR

Address	Four Oaks 1-4 Great North Road Welwyn AL6 0PL
Proposal	Variation of conditions 1 (occupants) and 2 (number of caravans) of Planning Permission N6/2010/0211/S73B to increase the number of caravans from 10 to 20 of which no more than 5 shall be static caravans or mobile homes.
Applicant	Mr J Connors
Ward	Welwyn West

Agent	Mr M Green
Call-In/Objection from	Councillor Julie Cragg, Welwyn Hatfield Borough Council
Reason for Committee Decision	<p>Please can we call this in due to the fact that this raises a lot of issues of concern for the residents.</p> <p>There is uneasiness about the fact that the number of caravans fluctuates wildly and that they residents do not appear to adhere to the planing that they do have.</p> <p>The restrictions regarding children is there as this was not intended to be a permanent site but only to give stability to the children to enable them to attend school.</p> <p>Are they planning to use caravans as an office sutie and run business's from there? [sic]</p>
Call-In/Objection from	Caroline Williams, Welwyn Parish Council
Reason for Committee Decision	<p>15/03/2016 21:43 - Welwyn Parish Council at its Planning & Licensing Committee of the 15 March 2016 agreed to submit Major Objection. We are unclear why the existing conditions have not been enforced. We understand that the site was permitted as a temporary location linked to the schooling of the children which have now grown up and not as a permanent site. We are concerned that the number of caravans has continued to increase overtime both with, and without, permission and Welwyn Hatfield Borough Council have previously stated that 10 is the maximum that can be accommodated. The existing conditions include that the land should be restored in accordance with the scheme previously submitted and approved by the planning authority as the residents may have already changed.</p>
Case Officer	Mrs June Pagdin

6/2016/1493/VAR

Address	Thunderbridge Yard Bulls Lane Hatfield AL9 7BB
Proposal	Variation of condition 1 to extend the temporary permission; condition 3 to permit eight caravans of which no more than five would be static caravans; condition 4 to vary the approved drawings; and condition 5 to vary the site development scheme; of planning permission S6/2011/0116/FP
Applicant	Mr J Robb
Ward	Welham Green & Hatfield South
Agent	Mrs A Heine
Call-In/Objection from	Councillor Paul Zukowskyj, Welwyn Hatfield Borough Council
Reason for Committee Decision	<p>I would like to formally 'call in ' this applicaiton as it meets at least two of the key criteria for a call in, namely 1. The application is of an unusually sensitive nature as the current use of the site has led to significant adverse impact on neighbours amenity and that development beyond that permitted had occurred at the site. 2. The wider ramifications are the potential impact</p>

of permitting this development may have on setting precedent for permitting neighbouring sites in the forthcoming local plan examination in public. There is also the precedent that would be set of permitting such developments, even for a limited period, in flood zones.

Call-In/Objection from Sue Chudleigh, North Mymms Parish Council

Reason for Committee Decision NMPC OBJECT as this is clearly a new application. The name of the applicants is not the name to whom the extant Permission was originally given.

The Government Planning Policy for traveller sites Policy E, in its introduction, states that making and decision taking should protect the Green Belt from inappropriate development - Item 4d and Item 16 state that inappropriate development is harmful to the Green Belt except in exceptional circumstances. None have been indicated.

Item 4f - further states the local planning authority should aim to reduce the number of unauthorised developments and encampments. This site has consistently exceeded the numbers stated in the original Permission and it is too small a site to be abused in this way.

Item 4k - states the local planning authority have to have due regard to the protection of local amenities and local environment. There have also been sanitary issues arising from the over-use of the site. One or more travelling caravans have also been let to itinerant workers in contravention to the terms of the licence. This has caused considerable problems to the neighbourhood.

Item 13 of the Planning Policy for traveller sites states the local planning authority should ensure that their policies:

- a) promote peaceful and integrated co-existence between the site and the local community
- f) avoid placing undue pressure on local infrastructure and services
- g) do not locate sites in areas at high risk of flooding, including functional floodplains, given the particular vulnerability of caravans

Policy E, Item 24 addresses local provision and it is the case that WHBC do not have a firm policy on Gypsy and Traveller sites in the Borough but there are existing sites in Welham Green – including a long term Showmans site which causes no trouble – however the Foxes Lane site also is also over used as the numbers of caravans exceeds the permitted numbers. Item 27 states that if a local planning authority cannot demonstrate an up to date 5 year supply of deliverable sites this should be a material consideration and in this case the existing sites result in over supply in Welham Green therefore any expansion is unwarranted.

Item 25 states that the local planning authority should very strictly limit traveller site development in the Green Belt and further”sites in rural areas do not dominate the nearest settled community.” Expansion of this site would cause stress on services and neighbours.

Item 16 Inappropriate development is harmful to the Green Belt and should not be approved, except in very special circumstances. Traveller sites (temporary or permanent) in the Green Belt are inappropriate development. Subject to the best interests of the child, personal circumstances and unmet need are unlikely to clearly outweigh harm to the Green Belt and any other harm so as to establish very special circumstances, none of which have been indicated in this case.

Item 24(a) The Local Planning Authority has to take into account the existing level of local provision and Welham Green currently has provision. Indeed, even this is exceeded unlawfully – see report from Dennis Pennyfather, Licensing Technical Officer, dated 24 August 2016.

Item 25 This further states the Local Planning Authority should very strictly limit sites in rural areas should not dominate the nearest settled community. Of late the activities at Thunderbridge Yard have caused extreme concern and disturbance to the neighbourhood. Police and other authorities are aware of this, which seems to arise from the subletting of the excessive on-site accommodation to persons other than gypsies or travellers.

Footnote 9 States there is no presumption that a temporary grant of Planning Permission should be granted permanently, therefore North Mymms Parish Council consider, taking into account comments by Licensing Technical Officer that the site is not big enough, there are fire safety issues and this is a known flood plain with previous flooding issues, caravans on this Green Belt site are inappropriate development.

Case Officer Mrs June Pagdin

6/2017/0225/FULL

Address	Welwyn Rugby Football Club Hobbs Way Welwyn Garden City AL8 6HX
Proposal	Retention of 4x 15 metre high floodlight columns and lamps measuring a further 0.5 metres
Applicant	Mr M Elliott
Ward	Handside
Agent	Mr M Elliott
Call-In/Objection from	Councillor Helen Bromley, Welwyn Hatfield Borough Council
Reason for Committee Decision	I would like to call this in as the new floodlights are significantly higher and brighter than those which they replaced, by some 7 meters, and they are also in different positions to the original lights. This has caused significant harm to the residents and the general amenity of

the area.
The original application did not mention the floodlights being in different positions.
This is impacting on the conservation and EMS area.
There is substantial visual intrusion day and night.
At night, the light spill is far worse than previously, despite the rugby club saying it would be less. This is not the case.
The club also seems to be contravening the permission given as to when they may be used.

Case Officer Mr Tom Gabriel

6/2017/0513/FULL

Address 5 West View Hatfield AL10 0PJ

Proposal Change of use from sui-generis (Large HMO for up to 8 people) to a large HMO for up to 7 people and 6 self-contained units for up to 12 people and erection of laundry area and awning over patio

Applicant Ms D Law

Ward Hatfield Cent.

Agent Ms D Law

Call-In/Objection from Carrie Lloyd, Hatfield Town Council

Reason for Committee Decision The Committee objected to this application due to the fact the Hatfield Article 4 Direction desperately needs reviewing and this has been recognised by the LPA. Until such time that this has been carried out and bearing in mind that the overall concentration of HMOs in Hatfield Town Council's area needs to be reduced, no further HMOs should be permitted within the boundaries of Hatfield Town Council's area hence forth. This particular application lacks sufficient communal space and we suggest fails to meet the legal requirements for HMO's.

Case Officer Mr Mark Peacock

6/2017/0606/MAJ

Address 1-9 Town Centre Hatfield AL10 0JZ

Proposal Erection of 2 buildings to provide 1,194m2 (GEA) commercial floor space (A1 & A3), 70 flats (15 x 1 bedroom, 7 x 2 bedroom, three person and 48 x 2 bedroom, four person) with associated car/bicycle parking, plant and refuse storage and including the improvement of public realm, following the demolition of existing buildings.

Applicant Mr P Brimley

Ward Hatfield Cent.

Agent Mr P Wellings-Longmore

Call-In/Objection from Carrie Lloyd, Hatfield Town Council

Reason for Committee Decision Object: The Town Council is concerned at the impact of parking from new residential units on the retail parking spaces available. The Council has repeatedly asked that design of new structures in the Town is sympathetic to existing Hatfield designs but again we see another architect imposing their design on our Town making it an uncoordinated mismatch of urban design from different decades with no empathy to the historic nature of the Town. Members are concerned at the impact of the level 7 building on the Grade 1 listed building of Hatfield House. Members consider that a community facility needs to be included within the design.

Case Officer Mr Mark Peacock

6/2017/1575/HOUSE

Address 6 Errington Close Hatfield AL10 9AU

Proposal Conversion of garage to habitable room with installation of window to rear elevation

Applicant Helene

Ward Hatfield Villages

Agent Mr A Feasey

Call-In/Objection from Carrie Lloyd, Hatfield Town Council

Reason for Committee Decision 14/08/2017 14:49 - Members object to the loss of a parking space in this part of Hatfield where parking is at a premium.

Further the Hatfield Article 4 Direction desperately needs reviewing and this has been recognised by the LPA. Until such time that this has been carried out and bearing in mind that the overall concentration of HMOs in Hatfield Town Council's area needs to be reduced, no further HMOs should be permitted within the boundaries of Hatfield Town Council's area hence forth, permitting this garage to be converted will further exacerbate the increase in student/HMO accommodation in Hatfield.

Case Officer Mr David Elmore

6/2018/0719/FULL

Address Wood Green Timber Company, Coopers Lane, Northaw, Potters, Bar EN6 4NE

Proposal Retention of existing racking structures with a reduced height of 4 metres and omitting the roofs

Applicant Mr J Silvester

Ward Northaw and Cuffley

Agent DLA Town Planning Ltd

Call-In/Objection from Jason Grocock, Northaw & Cuffley Parish Council

Reason for Committee Decision 4/04/2018 16:34 - The Inspector who refused the previous appeal ruled that there is:-
Harm to the Green Belt from introducing an industrial structure
The volume of the racking now proposed is a massive 2566.7 cubic metres
Northaw is not an industrial area
Loss of amenity to neighbours
No very special circumstances
Should WHBC approve this application, we would expect industry standard conditions to be applied e.g. hours of operating, no parking on the B156, size of lorries etc.
However we would regret this application being approved as it would set a precedent for nearby landowners

Case Officer Mr David Elmore

6/2018/0931/HOUSE

Address Sawmill Cottage Waterend Lane Ayot St Peter Welwyn AL6 9BB
Proposal Erection of a first floor side extension to include three dormer windows
Applicant Mrs C Hunt
Ward Welwyn West
Agent Mrs C Hunt
Call-In/Objection from Councillor Tony Kingsbury, Welwyn Hatfield Borough Council
Reason for Committee Decision 27/06/2018 21:33 - I would like to call in this application if the officer is minded to refuse it, on the basis that the development is in keeping with, and improves the character of the existing building. The property is set back from a quiet road and the development does not in my opinion impact on the openness of the green belt. The additional space also supports growth of an existing business at the property, supporting the local economy.

Case Officer Ms Clare Howe

6/2018/1117/FULL

Address 13A Station Road Welham Green Hatfield AL9 7PN
Proposal Erection of a 3-bedroom chalet style bungalow
Applicant Mr Makowski
Ward Welham Green & Hatfield South
Agent Mr R Robins
Call-In/Objection from Councillor Paul Zukowskyj, Welwyn Hatfield Borough Council
Reason for Committee Decision I would like to call in this application as the location is really prominent in the village so raises sensitive planning issues as it would visually impact the central village green. There is also the issue around the scale of development where the internal footprint of the dwelling is more than 50% of the curtilage area, which is atypical of the area.

Case Officer Ms Lucy Hale

6/2018/1198/FULL

Address	Vixendell Lane End Hatfield AL10 9AG
Proposal	Conversion of existing dwelling and change of use from residential (C3) to a Large HMO comprising of 9 en-suite rooms and associated amenities
Applicant	Mr Patel
Ward	Hatfield South West
Agent	Mr C Shah
Call-In/Objection from	Councillor James Broach, Welwyn Hatfield Borough Council
Reason for Committee Decision	<p>I would like to call this application in on the following grounds please:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• I am concerned that several of the bedrooms, especially number 4, are rather small. I would query whether the applicant has provided sufficient amenity to the potential occupants of this property. I note that application ref 6/2018/0514/FULL, a not dissimilar proposal, was recently refused on similar grounds, so I would be keen to see if similar logic could be applied here.• On a similar tack, the amount of work and storage space shown on the kitchen doesn't look sufficient for the potential number of residents of this house, bearing in mind that as the rooms are double rooms, there could be more than one person per bedroom. This amenity space could be awfully cramped at peak times. Given that bedroom 4 is right next to this space, the occupant of that room is likely to be at risk of experiencing high levels of noise due to potentially large numbers of people using what little space has been provided at a variety of times of day and night• I note that bedrooms 6 & 9 on the first floor share an en suite, but have separate entrances. This poses quite serious questions around the privacy provided to the occupants of the two rooms and would appear to be completely unsuitable.• I note that only 5 off street parking spaces are shown on the plans. This seems incredibly insufficient, and I would query whether this provision is sufficient for this proposal, or indeed meets the minimum standards stipulated by this council and/or the NPPF?• To convert a house to hold this many people would be significantly out of keeping with the neighbouring area. Whilst there do not appear to be any major structural changes other than the creation of the bin and bike sheds, I feel that setting a precedent to house this many people under one roof could set off a significant chain of events in this area of Hatfield, which already has an exceptionally high density of HMOs. To that effect, I would ask that the Article 4 directive is closely looked at in this case, as there will likely be a high number of HMOs in this area.

As ever I am happy for this to be refused under delegated powers if that is what the team decides to recommend.

Case Officer Mr William Myers

6/2018/1267/VAR

Address 8-18 (inclusive) Stanborough Close Welwyn Garden City AL8 6XB
Proposal Variation of condition 10 (tenancy restriction) on planning permission N6/1994/0338/FP, dated 29/09/1994
Applicant Ms T Sinclair
Ward Handside
Agent Mr A Woolcott
Call-In/Objection from Councillor Helen Bromley, Welwyn Hatfield Borough Council
Reason for Committee Decision I understand that an application for a variation to several of the bungalows has now been submitted.
I have called in previous applications in this Close and would like to call in this latest one too.

This would be a fundamental change to the use of these dwellings which needs to be considered by the DMC, in order that all the implications of any decision, may be fully discussed and understood by all.
Kind Regards
Helen

Case Officer Ms Louise Sahlke

6/2018/1323/FULL

Address Garages and land adjacent to flats 37- 48 Lambs Close Cuffley EN6 4HD
Proposal Erection of a 2-bedroom flat above existing flats
Applicant Ludgate Property Developments Ltd
Ward Northaw and Cuffley
Agent Mr D Padalino
Call-In/Objection from Jason Grocock, Northaw & Cuffley Parish Council
Reason for Committee Decision 20/06/2018 14:21 - MAJOR OBJECTION – Objection on the grounds of previous objections (6/11/17) – when the application was refused....
The Parish Council has a major objection to this application on the following basis:-
Overdevelopment of the site. Creates overlooking on neighbouring properties. Inappropriate development of the site. Inadequate car parking. Similar scheme previously rejected (6/2017/1079/FULL)

Case Officer Mr William Myers

6/2018/1340/HOUSE

Address 17B Station Road Welham Green Hatfield AL9 7PL

Proposal Installation of front window to facilitate partial garage conversion to form a study

Applicant Ms Pearce

Ward Welham Green & Hatfield South

Agent Mr Young

Call-In/Objection from Sue Chudleigh, North Mymms Parish Council

Reason for Committee Decision North Mymms Parish Council have a MAJOR OBJECTION to this application. The loss of parking space is significant as the proposal would not comply with WHBC's current parking standards. Station Road is a busy thoroughfare and no extra parking space is available on roads nearby and double yellow lines were recently put around the corners of Lydia Mews. For a three-bedroom house one car parking space is totally inadequate. It is nonsense to construct a garage that is unsuitable for a car and the need to increase the size of the garage was noted at planning stage.

Case Officer Mr Richard Sakyi

6/2018/1354/OUTLINE

Address Land South of Cromer Hyde Welwyn Garden City

Proposal Outline permission for the change of use of land to airfield with runway and support facilities and erection of a clubhouse with all matters except access and layout reserved

Applicant Mr C Fitch

Ward Hatfield Villages

Agent Mr A Bardett

Call-In/Objection from Councillor Duncan Bell, Welwyn Hatfield Borough Council

Reason for Committee Decision As one of the ward councillors for Hatfield Villages, I would like to conditionally call-in the above application.

This call-in is conditional, in that I would only request it's consideration by the Development Management Committee in the event that Planning Department recommend approval of the application.

My grounds for calling this application in are:

* The flying training circuit indicated within the Feasibility Study raises potential environmental (noise) concerns impacting many properties in Hatfield Garden Village and Salisbury Village, as well as properties within the proposed Local Plan sites of HAT1 and Symondshyde Village. This

potential environmental impact goes well beyond the addresses contacted as immediate neighbours.

* The Feasibility Study appears to envisage that construction of the airfield could be at least partly funded by S106 monies generated from the Panshanger site housing development. In reality, S106 or CIL commitments generated from Local Plan developments will be finite, and there will be many competing claims upon their deployment. They will need to be deployed where the infrastructure needs are greatest, as assessed by the public authorities involved. The idea that these essentially public resources could be pre-empted for use on a private proposal for a new airfield seems questionable at best.

Given the above, I believe that this application is of sufficient interest to merit consideration by Development Management Committee if the Planning Officer recommends approval.

I have copied the three town councillors for the ward, as Hatfield Town Council is one of the consultees.

I will almost certainly submit a more detailed representation in a few days time.

Thanks for accepting this call-in.
Kind Regards,
Duncan Bell.

Case Officer	Mr Mark Peacock
Address	Land South of Cromer Hyde Welwyn Garden City
Proposal	Outline permission for the change of use of land to airfield with runway and support facilities and erection of a clubhouse with all matters except access and layout reserved
Applicant	Mr C Fitch
Ward	Hatfield Villages
Agent	Mr A Bardett
Call-In/Objection from	Carrie Lloyd, Hatfield Town Council
Reason for Committee Decision	22/06/2018 15:47 - The application is in the Green Belt and no special circumstances have been shown to divert from Green Belt Policy. Members are concerned at the effect of noise and air pollution on the quality of life on residents of Salisbury Village and the Hatfield Garden Village. HAT1 & HAT2 (if built) will be very close to this application site causing further distress to residential development. 50 cars will see a great increase in vehicular movements in this area.
Case Officer	Mr Mark Peacock

6/2018/1635/OUTLINE

Address	Historic De Havilland Grass Runway Ellenbrook fields Hatfield Buisness park Hatfield Herts
Proposal	Outline permission for the change of use of land to airfield with runway and support facilities
Applicant	Mr C Fitch
Ward	Hatfield Villages
Agent	Mr C Fitch
Call-In/Objection from	Councillor Duncan Bell, Welwyn Hatfield Borough Council
Reason for Committee Decision	<p>i would like to conditionally call in the above planning application, which appears to be for an airfield within Ellenbrook Fields.</p> <p>This will, as for 6/2018/1354, be a conditional call-in, in that it will only need to come to DMC if Planning Department recommend approval.</p> <p>My ground for calling this in are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• There is a considerable potential for noise nuisance over a wide area of Ellenbrook, Salisbury Village, and Hatfield Garden Village.• Just as for application 6/2018/1354, the applicant seems to envisage that S106 monies can be used to help finance the project. S106 monies will be a scarce resource in the context of the WHBC Local Plan. They are essentially public resources infrastructure needs associated with Local Plan development. This should not include private flying facilities.• There is no airfield at Panshanger to "replace".• My understanding is that there is an entirely separate S106 commitment associated with a 2017 mineral extraction application to restore Ellenbrook Fields as a country park. It is unclear how this application would fit with this prior commitment.
Case Officer	Mr Mark Peacock